

We the People...

CONSTITUTIONIST NEWSLETTER

Saving our Constitutional Republic by Education and Activism

Opinions and items gathered from the Internet by Steven Maikoski
Author: "The Real Constitution and its Real Enemies"

The Democrat's lie of *Extremism*

Our Constitution has proven to be one of the finest structures of government ever developed by mankind. It has withstood the tests of time and has weathered changes through the amendment process, some good, some bad, but is now being attacked by a villainous faction that disguises itself as good, attacks true patriotism and has only occasional associations with the truth.

In a recent newsletter I explained how today's democrats framed their language in a manner that creates wording that made a political act sound good when it was actually bad for this nation. The democrat faction is very good at it.

In this essay I address one of their powerful frames that must be dealt with if we are to have any hope of rescuing our nation from its fall into fascism or anarchy. It is about their use of just one word, a word they throw in any argument as a wild card against republicans: *Extremist*.

Their *extremist* framing may be the most successful tactic of the democrats, for it is a powerful tool of persuasion that dishonestly resets the foundation of all political discussions. The framing has many NPR listeners and TV zombies believing that republicans are extremists and dangerous for this nation's future, despite it being the democrat's drive to endless debt, immorality, and the disrespect for our Constitution that is driving this nation into ruin.

It is indeed a powerful manipulation of wording.

If we are to slow, stop, or win back some of the democrats, we must challenge the use of the word *extremism* in a manner that the general voters understand how they are being manipulated. So, I'm going to set a logical foundation, which may bore some of you, but what you are going to read here is very important.

We must first properly define an extreme political act.

The use of the word *extreme* denotes that there is a point of origin from where the measurements start, a zero point, an initial point. From that zero point you then travel outward, to the moderate, then to the fringe of the measurements: the extreme point. This is the sensible way of getting to *extremism*. Its distance from the origin sets the foundation for the proper use of that word.

So, how can this be properly measured?

Over twenty years ago I created a model for the process of moving from nothing, a zero point, to an extreme point in politics. It works by identifying step by step procedures of human interaction, moving from indifference to extremism.

The Steps of Political Intensities:

Step 1 Indifference: an act means nothing to you. You don't care what color of shirt someone wears, etc..

Step 2 Tolerance: You care about something, but allow it.

Step 3 Intolerance: Here is where the protests start, from personal statements to protest marches, etc..

Step 4 Low-level government involvement: Parking tickets or minor infractions. Inexpensive civil lawsuits and actions.

Step 5 Moderate government involvement: Jail, expensive fines and expensive lawsuits

Step 6 Serious government involvement: Imprisonment, serious loss of property

Step 7 Execution or life imprisonment: greatest loss possible

Extreme indicates the furthest an act can go; no act is beyond extreme. That is step 7. It involves taking life from someone.

But the democrats freely use *extremist* to attack conservatives, even if the the conservative acts are constitutional, even if the acts do not fit the definition of extreme. They do this because they do not adhere to a constitutional path in their politics. They are only concerned with empowering their own political agenda, any way they can.

In other words, their use of *extremism* is a blatant mischaracterization, a deliberate lie to allow their political party to continue its raid on the treasury and subject our society to other evil acts.

Our national debt is the greatest in history, yet it does not concern the democrats. Law enforcement is only used against their political opponents, while they canonize late criminals and release many back into an innocent society. Our level of national perversion is the worst in history; and never before has any society promoted surgical changes on a child's anatomy, nor used our education and marketing systems to groom every person possible, including children, for sexual perversions. This is all the will of the democrats, while they call conservatives *extremists*.

John Adams, our second president, warned us of these events, yet probably could not imagine the degree of sexual perversion pushed by today's democrats. He did this in a speech to a militia group in Massachusetts in 1798, saying that if our government is not armed with the power of contending with human passions that are unbridled by morality and religion, avarice, ambition, gallantry and revenge will break the strongest cords of our Constitution as a whale goes through a net.

The *extremism* claimed by the democrats is seen from their perverted, anti-constitutional point of perception and their war on morality. From a constitutional point, they are *extreme* left and trying to destroy every facet of this great nation.

Each of us is a soldier in this fight. Pick a democrat and talk to them. Discuss the extreme violations of our constitutional principles. Understand that the lack of morals, the perversions, and the debt are soft points in their political armor. Focus on them; few people like to be called a pervert. Our adhering to moral principles and our constitution are not extreme philosophies, willfully violating them are.

Our nation needs you. Get to work.